SCHEDULE A – DATA SHEET

SECTION	ITEM			
A1.00 – General Information				
A1.01 – Description of Goods and/or Services	Description of Goods and/or Services: The City is seeking to procure an Information Technology Service Management (ITSM) solution			
A1.02 – RFP Number	The RFP Number is 300-2023.			
A1.03 – Contact Person and Requests for Information	The name of the Contact Person is: Mark Doornbos The Contact Person may be contacted via email: MDoornbos@winnipeg.ca			

SECTION	ITEM			
A2.00 – RFP Information				
A2.01 – Timetable	TIMETABLE			
	Issuance of RFP Documents	October 18, 2023		
	Registration Deadline for Proponents Meeting	October 25, 2023		
	Proponents Meeting	October 26, 2023		
	Deadline for Proponents to submit RFIs	October 27, 2023		
	Deadline for posting RFI responses	October 30, 2023		
	Deadline for issuance of Addenda	October 30, 2023		
	Stage 1 - Intent to Bid Deadline	November 2, 2023, 12:00 CT		
	Deadline to submit confidentiality agreement	Not Applicable		
	Anticipated date range for Stage 2 - Interview	November 9, 2023 to November 16, 2023		
	Anticipated date range for Stage 3 - Demonstration	November 30, 2023 to December 14, 2023		
	Stage 4 - Pricing Proposal Submission Deadline	January 11, 2024, 12:00 CT		
	Anticipated Stage 5 – Negotiations Proponent selection	January 18, 2024		
	Anticipated Stage 6 – Award Final Agreement execution date range	February 22, 2024 to March 21, 2024		
A2.02 – Background Information	Background Information will be provided to the Proponents on MERX.			
A2.03 – Maximum number of Proponents that can be progressed to Stage 2	6			

SECTION	ITEM				
A2.04 – Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria				Weight
Criteria for additional screening (if applicable)	Firm profile and experience of proponent and subcontractors			30	
	Post project support services				15
	Modules and Functionality				40
	Differentiating functional requirements				15
A2.05 – Evaluation	Eva	luation Criteria	Weight	Minimu	ım Score
Criteria for Stage 2 - Interview	Solu	extent to which the Proponent's ution meets the City's Solution ectives	90.9		N/A
	Firn	n profile and experience	9.1		70%
A2.06 Maximum number of Proponents that can be progressed to Stage 3	4				
A2.07 – Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria			Weigh	nt
Criteria for Stage 3 - Demonstration	The extent to which the demonstration shows the Shortlisted Proponent's Solution meets the City's Solution Objectives				86.4%
	The extent to which the demonstration shows the Shortlisted Proponent's Solution meets the City's Professional Services Objectives:			(sı	7.5% ubtotal of 1, 2, 3)
	Project Plan, Methodology and Schedule			2.5%	
	Data migration approach and access to historical data			2.5%	
	Post project support and services			2.5%	
	Solution integration risk				2.5%
	Experience of Key Personnel Assigned to the Project			3.6%	
A2.08 – Minimum Passing Score on Stage 3 - Demonstration	The minimum passing score on the Stage 3 - Demonstration is 70% .				

SECTION	ITEM				
A2.09 – Weighting for	Component of Overall Score	Weight			
Components of Overall Score	Stage 2 - Interview (or optional criteria)	7			
	Firm profile and experience	7			
	Stage 3 - Demonstration	81			
	The extent to which the demonstration shows the Shortlisted Proponent's Solution meets the City's objectives	70			
	Project Plan, Methodology and Schedule				
	Experience of Key Personnel Assigned to the Project	3			
	Data migration approach and access to historical data	2			
	Solution integration risk	2			
	Post project support and services	2			
	Stage 4 - Price	12			
	Price (Implementation + 5 year cost)	10			
	Pricing model scalability	2			
A2.10 – Maintaining Prices and Proposal Commitments	As per RFP				
A2.11 – General Proponents Meeting	The City encourages all proponents to attend the General Proponents Meeting to learn about the new Information Technology (IT) RFP process and what to expect at each stage.				
A2.12 – Prohibited Contacts	Not Applicable.				
A2.13 – Ineligible Persons	Not Applicable.				
A2.14 – Confidentiality Agreement	Proponents are not required to execute a confidentiality agreement.				
A2.15 - Disclosure	Companies that provided cost estimates and/or descriptions or demos relating to the Goods and/or Services that are the subject of this RFP document: 1. EasyVista (provided information on their services, a demo, and pricing estimate)				
	Micro Focus (now part of OpenText) - provided informations services, a demo, and pricing estimate				

SECTION	ITEM	
	3.	Freshworks - provided information on their services
	4.	SolarWinds - provided information on their services
	5.	BMC Helix - provided information on their services
	6.	ServiceNow - provided information on their services
A2.16 – One Proposal Per Person	There are no exceptions to RFP 7.4	

SECTION	ITEM				
A3.00 – Contract and Goods and/or Services Information					
A3.01 – Contract Term	Contract Details				
and Extensions	Contract L	ength	1 year (Hosted Services)		
	Number of year exten	f mutually agreed one- sions	4		
	Anticipate	d contract start date	Date of award (Professional Services)		
A3.02 – the City Policies	Records Management By-Law 123/2020; AS-006; AS-015				
A3.03 – Data Sensitivity Level	3				
A3.04 – Limit on	The limit on liability cap is twice the value of the first year of Contract Price				
Liability	(includes implementation and hosting for first year)				
A3.05 – Insurance	The Consultant shall provide evidence of the following:				
	(a) Professional Errors and Omissions Liability in the amount of not le than \$500,000 per claim and \$1,000,000 in the aggregate.				
	 i) Professional Liability to remain on file for 12 months following completion of the services. 				
	ii) Policies to be taken out with insurers licensed to carry on business in the province of Manitoba.				
	iii) Deductibles to be the responsibility of the Consulta				